arXiv:math/0511228v5 [math.NT] 2 Oct 2008

CM newforms with rational coefficients

Matthias Schütt

Abstract

We classify newforms with rational Fourier coefficients and complex multiplication for fixed weight up to twisting. Under the extended Riemann hypothesis for odd real Dirichlet characters, these newforms are finite in number. We produce tables for weights 3 and 4, where finiteness holds unconditionally.

Key words: modular form, complex multiplication, Hecke character.

MSC(2000): primary 11F11, 11F30; secondary 11F23, 14J28, 14J32.

Introduction

This paper grew out of the wish to understand and eventually determine the modular forms which could possibly be associated to singular K3 surfaces over $\mathbb{Q}$. The term "singular" refers to the maximal Picard number $\varrho = 20$. Hence the transcendental lattice T_X of a singular K3 surface X has rank two, giving rise to a two-dimensional Galois representation. By a theorem of Livné [L], any singular K3 surface over $\mathbb{Q}$ is modular, with the associated modular form of weight 3. Then we can ask which newforms occur. Our original motivation was to determine all newforms of weight 3 with rational coefficients, that is, all possibly occurring newforms. Up to twisting, we will produce a finite list in Table 1.

All the ideas involved in our analysis can be applied to newforms of general weight which have rational coefficients and CM. Hence we will treat this question in the most possible generality. A guiding and illustrating example is provided by the newforms of weight 2 with rational Fourier coefficients and CM. Since these correspond to elliptic curves over $\mathbb{Q}$ with CM, the finiteness result is guaranteed by the classical theory of elliptic curves with CM, and in particular, by class field theory. Our main result is as follows:

Theorem 2.1
Assume the extended Riemann hypothesis (ERH) for odd real Dirichlet characters. Then there are only finitely many CM newforms with rational coefficients for fixed weight up to twisting. For weights $2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 2^r + 1, 3 \cdot 2^r + 1 (r \in \mathbb{N})$ *this holds unconditionally.*

The paper is organised as follows: First we recall some of the basic theory of modular forms and Hecke characters (Sect. 1). Then we state our results concerning the finiteness and shape of CM newforms with rational coefficients for fixed weight. Section 2 also explains the dependence of Theorem 2.1 on the ERH. The subsequent sections give a proof of the results. We conclude the paper by an explicit description of CM newforms of weight 3 and 4 with rational coefficients (Sect. 7, 8). In particular, this will answer the motivating question. Finally, we comment on recent progress on the problem of geometric realisations of CM newforms with rational coefficients. Here we also return to singular K3 surfaces as Thm. 2.1 enables N. Elkies and the author to solve the geometric realisation problem in weight 3 (cf. Thm. 9.2).

1 Modular forms and Hecke characters

In this section we recall some facts about modular forms and sketch the connection between eigenforms with complex multiplication (CM) and Hecke characters. The fact that a Hecke character gives rise to a modular form goes back to Hecke. On the other hand, Ribet showed that any newform with CM comes from a Hecke character (Thm. 1.1). For details, the reader is referred to the paper of Ribet [R].

We are concerned with newforms in the space of (elliptic) cusp forms $\mathcal{S}_k(\Gamma_0(N), \varepsilon)$. Here, we fix an integral weight $k > 1$, the level $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and the *nebentypus*, a character ε modulo N with $\varepsilon(-1) = (-1)^k$. A cusp form has a Fourier expansion at $i\infty$

$$f(\tau) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n q^n, \qquad \text{where} \quad q = e^{2\pi i \tau}.$$

A normalised newform f can be characterised by the minimality of its level and the property that its Mellin transform $L(f, s)$ possesses an Euler product expansion

$$L(f, s) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} a_n n^{-s} = \prod_p (1 - a_p p^{-s} + \varepsilon(p) p^{k-1-2s})^{-1}.$$

Consider the finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}$ which is generated by the set of Fourier coefficients $\{a_p\}$ of a newform f. This field is well-known to be real if and only if the nebentypus ε is either trivial or quadratic with $\varepsilon(p)a_p = a_p$; otherwise it is a CM-field.

A newform $f = \sum a_n q^n \in \mathcal{S}_k(\Gamma_1(N)$ is said to have *complex multiplication (CM)* by a Dirichlet character ϕ if $f = f \otimes \phi$. Here, we write the twist

$$f \otimes \phi = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \phi(n) a_n q^n.$$

Since a CM-character is neccessarily quadratic, we will also refer to CM by the corresponding quadratic field K. The ring of integers in K will be denoted by $\mathcal{O}_K$.

Theorem 1.1 (Ribet [R, Prop. (4.4), Thm. (4.5)])
A newform has CM by K (a quadratic field) if and only if it comes from a Hecke character of K. In particular, the field K is imaginary and unique.

Corollary 1.2
Let f be a newform with real coefficients. Then the following relations hold:

(i) If the weight k is even, then the nebentypus ε is trivial.

(ii) If the weight k is odd, then f has CM by its nebentypus ε. In particular, ε is quadratic.

Definition 1.3 (Hecke)
Let $\mathfrak{m}$ be an ideal of K and $l \in \mathbb{N}$. A Hecke character *ψ of K modulo $\mathfrak{m}$ with ∞-type l is a homomorphism on the group of fractional ideals of K which are prime to $\mathfrak{m}$,*

$$\psi : \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{fractional ideals of } K \\ \text{which are prime to } \mathfrak{m} \end{array} \right\} \to \mathbb{C}^*,$$

such that

$$\psi(\alpha\, \mathcal{O}_K) = \alpha^l \qquad \forall\, \alpha \in K^* \text{ with } \alpha \equiv 1 \mod \mathfrak{m}.$$

The ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ is called the conductor *of ψ if $\mathfrak{m}$ is minimal in the following sense: If ψ is defined modulo $\mathfrak{m}'$, then $\mathfrak{m} | \mathfrak{m}'$.*

Strictly speaking, we should refer to multiplicative congruence in this context. To simplify notation, we shall omit this in the following and also tacitly extend ψ by 0 for all fractional ideals of K which are not prime to $\mathfrak{m}$.

The connection with modular forms occurs through the L-series of ψ. Denote the norm homomorphism of the Galois extension $K/\mathbb{Q}$ by $\mathcal{N}$:

$$L(\psi, s) = \sum_{\mathfrak{a} \text{ integral}} \psi(\mathfrak{a})\,\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{a})^{-s} = \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \text{ prime}} (1 - \psi(\mathfrak{p})\,\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{p})^{-s})^{-1}.$$

Then the inverse Mellin transform f_ψ of $L(\psi, s)$ is known to be a Hecke eigenform:

$$f_\psi = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} a_n q^n = \sum_{\mathfrak{a} \text{ integral}} \psi(\mathfrak{a}) q^{\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{a})} \qquad (q = e^{2\pi i \tau}).$$

We introduce the following notation: Let $-\Delta_K$ be the discriminant of K and χ_K the associated quadratic character of conductor Δ_K. Denote $M = \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{m})$ and consider the Dirichlet character $\eta_\mathbb{Z}$ mod M which is given by

$$\eta_\mathbb{Z} : a \mapsto \frac{\psi(a\,\mathcal{O}_K)}{a^l} \quad (a \in \mathbb{Z}, (a, M) = 1).$$

Theorem 1.4 (Hecke, Shimura)
f_ψ is a Hecke eigenform of weight $l+1$, level $\Delta_K M$ and nebentypus character $\chi_K \eta_\mathbb{Z}$:

$$f_\psi \in \mathcal{S}_{l+1}(\Gamma_0(\Delta_K M), \chi_K \eta_\mathbb{Z}).$$

f_ψ is a newform (of level $\Delta_K M$) if and only if $\mathfrak{m}$ is the conductor of ψ.

By construction, the eigenform f_ψ has CM by K (or equivalently χ_K). From Corollary 1.2, we obtain the following restrictions on the nebentypus $\varepsilon = \chi_K \eta_\mathbb{Z}$:

Corollary 1.5
Assume that the newform f_ψ has real coefficients. Then the following conditions hold:

(i) If l is odd, then $\varepsilon = 1$ and hence $\eta_\mathbb{Z} = \chi_K$. In particular, $(\sqrt{-\Delta_K}) \mid \mathfrak{m}$.

(ii) If l is even, then $\varepsilon = \chi_K$ and hence $\eta_\mathbb{Z} = 1$.

We say that a Hecke character ψ has real (or rational) coefficients, if the corresponding eigenform f_ψ has.

Remark 1.6
The first statement of Corollary 1.5 can be strengthened in two special cases as follows: If ψ is a Hecke character of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})$ or $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2})$ with real coefficients and odd ∞-type, then

$$(2 + 2\sqrt{-1}) \mid \mathfrak{m} \quad \textit{resp.} \quad (4\sqrt{-2}) \mid \mathfrak{m}.$$

If we twist f_ψ by a Dirichlet character ϕ, this corresponds to twisting ψ by $\phi \circ \mathcal{N}$:

$$f_\psi \otimes \phi = f_{\psi \otimes (\phi \circ \mathcal{N})}.$$

In general, the coefficients stay real only if ϕ is quadratic. If $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})$ or $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$, we can twist ψ also by quartic resp. sextic characters.

2 Formulation of the results

In this section we formulate our main result for CM newforms (Thm. 2.1). For the proof we translate the statement to Hecke characters (Thm. 2.3). Then Thm. 2.3 follows from an unconditional classification of Hecke characters (Thm. 2.4). The conditional part of Thm. 2.1 and 2.3 is based on work of Weinberger [W], as we explain in the sequel of Thm. 2.4. The unconditional part also relies on work by Heath-Brown. The proof of Thm. 2.4 will be the subject of the next three sections.

Theorem 2.1
Assume ERH for odd real Dirichlet characters. Then there are only finitely many CM newforms with rational coefficients for fixed weight up to twisting.
For weights $2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 2^r + 1, 3 \cdot 2^r + 1 (r \in \mathbb{N})$ *this holds unconditionally.*

For weight 2 this result is known from the theory of elliptic curves with CM. For the general case, we will prove a corresponding statement for Hecke characters in Theorem 2.3. Then we can use Ribet's Theorem 1.1 to deduce Theorem 2.1. For this purpose, we need the following easy lemma:

Lemma 2.2
Let ψ *be a Hecke character of an imaginary quadratic field* K*. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

(i) f_ψ *has rational coefficients,*

(ii) $\psi(\mathfrak{a}) + \psi(\bar{\mathfrak{a}}) \in \mathbb{Z}$ *for all ideals* $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathcal{O}_K$*.*

(iii) $\operatorname{im} \psi \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$*.*

As a consequence, Theorem 2.1 is equivalent to

Theorem 2.3
Assume ERH for odd real Dirichlet characters. For fixed ∞*-type there are only finitely many Hecke characters of quadratic imaginary fields with rational coefficients up to twisting. For* ∞*-types* $1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 2^r, 3 \cdot 2^r (r \in \mathbb{N})$ *this holds unconditionally.*

To derive Thm. 2.3, we need the following unconditional classification. It involves the *exponent* e_K of an imaginary quadratic field K, that is, the exponent of the class group $Cl(\mathcal{O}_K)$.

Theorem 2.4
For fixed ∞*-type* l*, there is a bijective correspondence*

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \textit{Hecke characters} \\ \textit{with } \infty\textit{-type } l \textit{ and rational coefficients} \\ \textit{up to twisting} \end{array} \right\} \overset{1:1}{\longleftrightarrow} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \textit{Imaginary quadratic fields } K \\ \textit{with exponent } e_K \mid l \end{array} \right\}.$$

Theorem 2.4 implies Theorem 2.3 due to a result of Weinberger: Subject to a Siegel-Landau condition on the zeroes of the Dirichlet L-functions $L(s, \chi_K)$ for imaginary quadratic fields K, he shows that

$$e_K \to \infty \quad \text{as} \quad \Delta_K \to \infty \quad \text{[W, Thm. 3]}.$$

In particular this holds if the extended Riemann hypothesis for $L(s, \chi_K)$ is true (cf. [W, Thm. 4]). Hence, Theorem 2.4 implies the conditional part of Theorem 2.3 as claimed. A formulation of Theorem 2.3 with the weaker condition is omitted here for brevity.

Furthermore, Weinberger proves the finiteness of imaginary quadratic fields K with exponent $e_K = 2$ or 3 unconditionally [W, Thms. 1, 2] (the latter is also due to Boyd-Kisilevski [B-K]). Finiteness for the greater exponents in the extra statement of Theorem 2.3 was recently proven by Heath-Brown [H-B].

We will pay special attention to unconditional cases in sections 7 and 8 where we list all known CM newforms of weight 3 and 4 with rational coefficients up to twisting. This will answer our motivating question which originated from singular K3 surfaces over $\mathbb{Q}$.

The proof of Theorem 2.4 is organised as follows: Denote the map of Theorem 2.4, which sends a Hecke character to its CM-field, by j:

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \mathrm{j}: \left\{\begin{array}{c}\text{Hecke characters}\\ \text{with } \infty\text{-type } l \text{ and rational coefficients}\\ \text{up to twisting}\end{array}\right\} & \to & \left\{\begin{array}{c}\text{Imaginary quadratic fields } K\\ \text{with exponent } e_K \mid l\end{array}\right\} \\ \psi & \mapsto & K \end{array}$$

Then Theorem 2.4 states that j is well-defined (i.e. all CM-fields occurring have exponent $e_K \mid l$) and bijective. This will be established as follows:

In the next section, we use an argument of Serre to check that j is well-defined (Proposition 3.1). Then, section 4 gives the proof of the surjectivity of j (Corollary 4.2). Using elementary genus theory, we will also achieve a first step towards the injectivity of j (Lemma 4.5). Finally, the proof of the injectivity of j will be sketched in section 5.

Remark 2.5
Theorem 2.4 can be used to determine a CM newform with rational coefficients from very few explicit data. Given the bad primes, it suffices to check a small number of primes to deduce the precise form. For instance this metod is used in [Sch4].

3 j is well-defined

In this section, we will prove that j is well-defined. Since j is consistent with twisting, the following proposition provides an equivalent formulation. It goes back to an argument of Serre in [L, Rem. 1.8]:

Proposition 3.1
Let K be an imaginary quadratic field. Let ψ be a Hecke character of K with rational coefficients and ∞-type l. Then $e_K \mid l$.

For the proof of the proposition, let $\mathfrak{m}$ denote the conductor of ψ and $G = (\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{m})^*$. We define the character

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \eta: & G & \to & \mathbb{C}^* \\ & \alpha & \mapsto & \dfrac{\psi(\alpha\,\mathcal{O}_K)}{\alpha^l}. \end{array}$$

Formally, the definition coincides with that of $\eta_{\mathbb{Z}}$, the character describing the operation of ψ on $\mathbb{Z}/M$. It follows from Corollary 1.5 that η is determined on

$$G_{\mathbb{Z}} = G \cap \mathrm{im}((\mathbb{Z}/M)^* \to G) = \{\alpha \in G\,; \exists\, a \in \mathbb{Z} : \alpha \equiv a \mod \mathfrak{m}\}.$$

Corollary 3.2
If ψ has real coefficients and ∞-type l, then

$$\eta|_{G_{\mathbb{Z}}} = \chi_K^l.$$

Another essential ingredient for the proof of Proposition 3.1 is provided by the next

Lemma 3.3
If the coefficients of ψ are rational, then

$$im\ \eta \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K^*.$$

Proof: Since G is finite, η has image some roots of unity. In particular, these are algebraic integers. On the other hand, η has values in K by Lemma 2.2. □

Corollary 3.4
Let ψ be a Hecke character of K with rational coefficients and ∞-type l. Then, for any $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K$ coprime to the conductor $\mathfrak{m}$,

$$\psi(\alpha\,\mathcal{O}_K)\,\mathcal{O}_K = \alpha^l\,\mathcal{O}_K = (\alpha\,\mathcal{O}_K)^l$$

as (principal) ideals of $\mathcal{O}_K$.

We can now prove Proposition 3.1: Let $\mathfrak{a}$ be an ideal of $\mathcal{O}_K$ with order n in $Cl(\mathcal{O}_K)$, i.e. $\mathfrak{a}^n = \alpha\,\mathcal{O}_K$ for some $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K$. If $\mathfrak{a}$ is prime to $\mathfrak{m}$, then Corollary 3.4 gives

$$(\psi(\mathfrak{a})\,\mathcal{O}_K)^n = (\alpha\,\mathcal{O}_K)^l.$$

Since both $\psi(\mathfrak{a})\,\mathcal{O}_K$ and $\alpha\,\mathcal{O}_K$ are principal ideals, n has to divide l by the minimality of the order. This completes the proof of Proposition 3.1. □

The following corollary of Proposition 3.1 is a consequence of genus theory:

Corollary 3.5 (Odd ∞-type)
Let $l \in \mathbb{N}$ be odd. If there is a Hecke character of K with rational coefficients and ∞-type l, then either Δ_K is prime (with $\Delta_K \equiv 3 \mod 4$) or $\Delta_K = 4$ or 8.

4 The surjectivity of j

In this section, we prove the surjectivity of j by constructing canonical Hecke characters for each imaginary quadratic field in question. As a first step towards the injectivity of j, we then show that these canonical Hecke characters are identified by twisting.

Lemma 4.1
Let K be an imaginary quadratic field and l a multiple of e_K. Then there is a Hecke character of K with rational coefficients and ∞-type l.

Proof: If $\Delta_K = 3, 4, 8$ (or any other class number 1 discriminant), we can use the Hecke character associated to the elliptic curve with CM by $\mathcal{O}_K$. Then consider its l-th power.

In any other case, we construct Hecke characters with trivial conductor if l is even, resp. with conductor $\mathfrak{m} = (\sqrt{-\Delta})$ if l is odd as follows: On the principal ideals of $\mathcal{O}_K$, we define ψ by

$$\alpha\,\mathcal{O}_K \mapsto (\chi_K(\mathrm{Re}(\alpha))\alpha)^l.$$

For the non-principal ideals, we consider a factorisation of the abelian group $Cl(\mathcal{O}_K)$ into cyclic groups

$$Cl(\mathcal{O}_K) = C_{n_1} \times \ldots \times C_{n_r}.$$

Then ψ is completely determined by its operation on a set of generators $\mathfrak{a}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{a}_r$ (chosen coprime to the conductor). Let $\mathfrak{a}_i^{n_i} = \alpha_i\,\mathcal{O}_K$ for some $\alpha_i \in \mathcal{O}_K$. We obtain

$$\psi(\mathfrak{a}_i)^{n_i} = \psi(\alpha_i\,\mathcal{O}_K) = (\chi_K(\mathrm{Re}(\alpha_i))\alpha_i)^l.$$

In case n_i is odd, this uniquely determines the root

$$\psi(\mathfrak{a}_i) = (\chi_K(\mathrm{Re}(\alpha_i))\alpha_i)^{\frac{l}{n_i}} \in \mathcal{O}_K. \tag{1}$$

If n_i is even, there is a choice of sign in the image of $\mathfrak{a}_i$ under ψ:

$$\psi(\mathfrak{a}_i) = \pm\alpha^{\frac{l}{n_i}} \in \mathcal{O}_K. \tag{2}$$

Fix a sign for each generator $\mathfrak{a}_i$. This gives rise to a Hecke character with rational coefficients and conductor as claimed. □

Corollary 4.2
The map $\mathfrak{j}$ *is surjective.*

Definition 4.3
We call the Hecke characters constructed above canonical.

Remark 4.4
It follows from the construction that we could equivalently have used the precise shape of the conductor to define the notion of a canonical Hecke character.

We conclude this section with a first step towards the injectivity of $\mathfrak{j}$.

Lemma 4.5
The canonical Hecke characters of K *are equivalent under twisting.*

Proof: If e_K is odd, the canonical Hecke character is uniquely given by (1). If e_K is even, there are choices of sign due to (2). Changing signs is achieved by twisting with a fundamental character of K (cf. [C, §3.B]). □

5 The injectivity of $\mathfrak{j}$

In this section, we will show how the injectivity of $\mathfrak{j}$ can be proven. It will be derived from the following

Proposition 5.1
Let ψ *be a Hecke character with rational coefficients. Then there is a twist of* ψ *which is a canonical Hecke character.*

Corollary 5.2
$\mathfrak{j}$ *is injective.*

Proof of the Corollary: Consider two Hecke characters of K with rational coefficients and same ∞-type. By Prop. 5.1, there are twists which are canonical Hecke characters. By Lemma 4.5, these twists are equivalent under twisting. □

By Remark 4.4, proving Prop. 5.1 amounts to exhibiting a twist with the right conductor. Essentially we have to twist away the unramified primes. We will work with the character η which was defined in section 3. This suffices since twisting ψ corresponds to twisting η:

$$(\psi, \eta) \leftrightarrow (\psi \otimes \Xi, \eta \otimes \Xi). \tag{3}$$

The twisting in Prop. 5.1 will be exhibited primewise. For every prime $\mathfrak{p}$ dividing the conductor $\mathfrak{m}$, we perform a twist such that the $\mathfrak{p}$-parts of the conductor of the twist and of the canonical Hecke characters agree. We write $\mathfrak{p}$ (and $\bar{\mathfrak{p}}$) for the primes of $\mathcal{O}_K$ and p for the corresponding primes of $\mathbb{Z}$.

If a prime $\mathfrak{p}$ divides $\mathfrak{m}$, we factor $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{m}'\mathfrak{p}^{e_\mathfrak{p}}$ or $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{m}'\mathfrak{p}^{e_\mathfrak{p}}\bar{\mathfrak{p}}^{e_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}}}$, such that $\mathfrak{m}'$ is prime to p. Then we restrict η to the multiplicative subgroup

$$\Omega = \{\alpha \in (\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{m})^* : \alpha \equiv 1 \mod \mathfrak{m}'\} \subset G.$$

We denote the restrictions

$$\tilde{\eta} = \eta|_\Omega \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega_\mathbb{Z} = \Omega \cap G_\mathbb{Z}.$$

Lemma 5.3
In the above notation,

$$\tilde{\eta}|_{\Omega_{\mathbb{Z}}} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \mathfrak{p} \text{ is unramified,} \\ \chi_K^l, & \text{if } \mathfrak{p} \text{ is ramified..} \end{cases}$$

Proof: If the ∞-type l of ψ is even or $\mathfrak{p}$ is ramified, the statement follows from Corollary 3.2. If l is odd, then we know that

$$\eta|_{G_{\mathbb{Z}}} = \chi_K \quad \text{(Cor. 3.2)}. \tag{4}$$

Recall that in this setting Δ_K is either an odd prime or 4 or 8 (Cor. 3.5). In the first case, we can use the property $(\sqrt{-\Delta_K}) \mid \mathfrak{m}$ from Corollary 1.5. If $\mathfrak{p}$ is unramified, this gives $(\sqrt{-\Delta_K}) \mid \mathfrak{m}'$, so that

$$a \in \Omega_{\mathbb{Z}} \Rightarrow a \equiv 1 \mod \Delta_K.$$

Since $\tilde{\eta}|_{\Omega_{\mathbb{Z}}} = (\eta|_{G_{\mathbb{Z}}})|_{\Omega_{\mathbb{Z}}}$, equation (4) now gives the claim. For $\Delta_K = 4$ or 8, the same argument can be applied using Remark 1.6. □

By the Chinese remainder theorem,

$$\Omega \cong (\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}^{e_{\mathfrak{p}}})^* \quad \text{or} \quad \Omega \cong (\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}^{e_{\mathfrak{p}}})^* \oplus (\mathcal{O}_K/\bar{\mathfrak{p}}^{e_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}}})^*.$$

The further factorisation of this abelian group will allow us to determine $\tilde{\eta}$ explicitly. Then we will exhibit the corresponding twist which produces the right conductor. This will also give us the possible values for the exponents $e_{\mathfrak{p}}$. We collect them in Proposition 6.1.

Here we will only consider the case where $p > 2$ and $\mathcal{O}_K^* = \{\pm 1\}$. The other cases, $p = 2$ or $\Delta_K = 3$ or 4, require slightly more attention since there are additional characters to be considered. However, no essential new ideas are needed. The details can be found in [Sch1].

To achieve Prop. 5.1 in the above case, we apply the following lemma which makes the twisting explicit (cf. (3)):

Lemma 5.4
Let $p > 2$ and $\Delta_K \neq 3, 4$. If p does not ramify in K, then $\tilde{\eta} = 1$ or $\chi_K \circ \mathcal{N}$. If p ramifies, then $\tilde{\eta}$ is uniquely given by Lemma 5.3.

If $(p, \mathfrak{m}) = 1$, then $\tilde{\eta} = 1$, so there is nothing to prove. If $(p, \mathfrak{m}) \neq 1$, we distinguish between the splitting behaviour of p in K to prove Lemma 5.4.

5.1 p is inert in K

In the inert case, $\tilde{\eta}$ operates on the quotient $\Omega \cong (\mathcal{O}_K/p^e)^*$ where $e = e_p$. As an abelian group, it has a factorisation

$$\Omega \cong (\mathcal{O}_K/p^e)^* \cong C_{p^2-1} \times \tilde{\Omega}$$

where $\tilde{\Omega}$ is a group of cardinality $p^{2(e-1)}$. In particular, this cardinality is odd. Hence $\tilde{\eta}$ as a quadratic character operates trivially on $\tilde{\Omega}$. This gives $e \leq 1$.

We deduce that $\Omega = (\mathcal{O}_K/p)^* = C_{p^2-1}$ with a non-trivial action of the quadratic character $\tilde{\eta}$. Let χ_p denote the unique quadratic Dirichlet character of conductor p. Then $\chi_p \circ \mathcal{N}$ defines another non-trivial quadratic character on the cyclic group Ω. Hence the two characters $\tilde{\eta}$ and $\chi_p \circ \mathcal{N}$ coincide. □

5.2 p splits in K

In the split case, we write $p = \mathfrak{p}\bar{\mathfrak{p}}$ such that $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{m}'\mathfrak{p}^{e_\mathfrak{p}}\bar{\mathfrak{p}}^{e_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}}}$. Hence

$$\Omega \cong (\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}^{e_\mathfrak{p}})^* \oplus (\mathcal{O}_K/\bar{\mathfrak{p}}^{e_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}}})^* \cong \Omega_1 \oplus \Omega_2.$$

The respective quotient maps $\Omega \to \Omega_i$ shall be denoted by $[\cdot]_i$. Since $\mathfrak{p} \neq \bar{\mathfrak{p}}$, the summands are isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z}/p^{e_\mathfrak{p}})^*$ and $(\mathbb{Z}/p^{e_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}}})^*$, respectively.

We write $\tilde{\eta} = \tilde{\eta}_1 \cdot \tilde{\eta}_2$ with the characters $\tilde{\eta}_i$ operating on Ω_i. We can view them as acting on $(\mathbb{Z}/p^e)^*$ for the respective exponent e. With this notion, our next result is that the characters are conjugate:

$$\tilde{\eta}_1 = \overline{\tilde{\eta}_2}. \tag{5}$$

This is a direct consequence of the equality from Lemma 5.3

$$1 = \tilde{\eta}(a) = \tilde{\eta}_1([a]_1)\,\tilde{\eta}_2([a]_2) \;\; \forall\, a \in \Omega_\mathbb{Z}.$$

To deduce the result, we only need that $[a]_1 \equiv [a]_2 \mod p^{\min(e_\mathfrak{p}, e_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}})}$ for all $a \in \Omega_\mathbb{Z}$. In particular, the conjugacy (5) implies that

$$e = e_\mathfrak{p} = e_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}}. \tag{6}$$

Our next claim is that the characters $\tilde{\eta}_i$ are in fact quadratic; then, by conjugation

$$\tilde{\eta}_1 = \tilde{\eta}_2.$$

To prove this, let us assume on the contrary that the character $\tilde{\eta}_1$ is not quadratic. We shall establish a contradiction. By assumption, there is an element $\alpha \in \Omega$ with $\tilde{\eta}_1([\alpha]_1) \notin \{\pm 1\}$. Let $n_\mathfrak{p}, n_{\mathfrak{m}'}$ be the respective orders of $\mathfrak{p}$ and $\mathfrak{m}'$ in the class group $Cl(K)$, so that $\mathfrak{p}^{n_\mathfrak{p}} = (\pi)$ and $\mathfrak{m}'^{n_{\mathfrak{m}'}} = (\mu)$ for some $\pi, \mu \in \mathcal{O}_K$. We then consider the elements

$$\alpha + k\pi\mu \in \Omega \quad \text{for} \;\; k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Taking k in the range of $0, \ldots, p^e - 1$, the residue classes $[\alpha + k\pi\mu]_2$ run through the whole of $\mathbb{Z}/p^e$ by definition. Hence there is a k_0 such that $[\alpha + k_0\pi\mu]_2 = [1]_2$. This gives the required contradiction, since $\tilde{\eta}$ is quadratic by Lemma 3.3:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\eta}(\alpha + k_0\pi\mu) &= \tilde{\eta}_1([\alpha + k_0\pi\mu]_1)\,\tilde{\eta}_2([\alpha + k_0\pi\mu]_2) \\ &= \tilde{\eta}_1([\alpha]_1)\,\tilde{\eta}_2([1]_2) = \tilde{\eta}_1([\alpha]_1) \notin \{\pm 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the $\tilde{\eta}_i$ are quadratic. This implies $e \leq 1$, since

$$\Omega_i \cong (\mathbb{Z}/p^e)^* \cong C_{p-1} \times C_{p^{e-1}}$$

with the second factor of odd cardinality. In particular, $\tilde{\eta}_i$ is a non-trivial quadratic character on $\mathbb{F}_p^*$. Hence $\tilde{\eta}_i$ $(i = 1, 2)$ coincides with χ_p, the unique quadratic Dirichlet character of conductor p.

This property implies the claim $\tilde{\eta} = \chi_p \circ \mathcal{N}$ of Lemma 5.4. To see this, we note that $[\alpha]_2 = [\bar{\alpha}]_1$ in $\mathbb{F}_p^*$; in particular, for $\alpha \in \Omega_\mathbb{Z}$, we can omit the subscript, regardless of the factor. For general $\alpha \in \Omega$ we thereby obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\eta}(\alpha) &= \tilde{\eta_1}([\alpha]_1)\tilde{\eta_2}([\alpha]_2) \\ &= \chi_p([\alpha]_1)\chi_p([\bar{\alpha}]_1) \\ &= \chi_p([\mathcal{N}(\alpha)]) \\ &= \chi_p(\mathcal{N}(\alpha)) = \chi_p \circ \mathcal{N}(\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 5.4 in the split case. □

5.3 p ramifies in K

In the ramified case, $\tilde{\eta}$ is a quadratic character on

$$\Omega \cong (\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}^e)^* \cong C_{p-1} \times \tilde{\Omega}$$

where $\tilde{\Omega}$ denotes a group of odd cardinality p^{e-1}. Hence again $e \leq 1$. But then,

$$\Omega \cong (\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p})^* \cong (\mathbb{Z}/p)^* \cong \Omega_{\mathbb{Z}}.$$

In consequence, $\tilde{\eta}$ is uniquely determined by Lemma 5.3. This completes the proof of Lemma 5.4. Prop. 5.1 and thereby the injectivity of j and Thm. 2.4 follow. □

6 Exponents

We have seen that any Hecke character with rational coefficients admits a twist which has the canonical conductor from Lemma 4.1. Since all canonical Hecke characters are equivalent under twisting, this proves Thm. 2.4. In this section, we collect the possible exponents of the conductor. We also put them in relation with results of Serre.

Proposition 6.1
Let K be an imaginary quadratic field. Let ψ be a Hecke character of K with rational coefficients and ∞-type l. Let $\mathfrak{p}$ be a prime of $\mathcal{O}_K$. Denote the exponent of the conductor of ψ at $\mathfrak{p}$ by $e_\mathfrak{p}$. Then $e_\mathfrak{p} = e_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}}$ with the following possible values:

prime	$\mathfrak{p}$ *prime to 2*			$\mathfrak{p}$ *above 2*				
ramification	*ramified*		*unram.*	$4\|\|\Delta_K$		$8\|\Delta_K$		*unram.*
∞*-type*	*odd*	*even*	*arb.*	*odd*	*even*	*odd*	*even*	*arb.*
$\Delta_K \neq 3, 4$	*1*	*0*	*0,1*	-	*0,4*	*5*	*0,2*	*0,2,3*
$\Delta_K = 4$	-	-	*0,1*	*3,4,6*	*0,2,4,6*	-	-	-
$\Delta_K = 3$	*1,2,4*	*0,2,4*	*0,1*	-	-	-	-	*0,1,2,3*

Thm. 1.4 gives the corresponding statement for the level of the associated newform. The possible levels can be compared to results of Serre [Se, (4.8.8) & Application à (4.8.8) b)]. They agree with the maximal exponents for the conductor $N = \prod p^{e_p}$ of a modular integral two-dimensional Galois representation of the middle cohomology group of an odd-dimensional smooth projective variety over $\mathbb{Q}$:

$$\begin{aligned} e_p &\leq 2, \quad \text{if } p > 3, \\ e_p &\leq 5, \quad \text{if } p = 3, \\ e_p &\leq 8, \quad \text{if } p = 2. \end{aligned}$$

Note that Serre's bounds only apply to even weight, while our results only hold for CM-forms, but of arbitrary weight.

7 The CM newforms of weight 3

In this section we will give all CM newforms of weight 3 with rational Fourier coefficients up to twisting (except for possibly one). By a theorem of Livné [L], these constitute exactly the modular forms which can a priori appear as non-trivial factor $L(T_X, s)$ in the L-series of singular K3 surfaces over $\mathbb{Q}$. Hence this section answers the question which was our original motivation. In particular, we deduce the following result:

Proposition 7.1
Consider the following classifications of singular K3 surfaces over $\mathbb{Q}$:

(i) by the discriminant d of the transcendental lattice of the surface up to square,

(ii) by the discriminant $-d$ of the Néron-Severi lattice of the surface up to square,

(iii) by the associated newform up to twisting,

(iv) by the level of the associated newform up to square,

(v) by the CM-field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})$ of the associated newform.

These classifications are equivalent. In particular, $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})$ has exponent 1 or 2.

Proof: The relation between the discrimininants of transcendental lattice in (i) and Néron-Severi lattice in (ii) is due to Nikulin [N]. The classifications by (iii) and (v) coincide due to Theorem 2.4 which also gives the extra claim. Hence, the equivalence of (iii) and (v) with (i) follows from [L, Thm. 1.3, Ex. 1.6]. Finally, the equivalence (iv)⇔(v) can be read off from Proposition 6.1. □

Remark 7.2
The Torelli theorem [PS-Š] identifies singular K3 surfaces with their transcendental lattices up to isomorphism. The intersection form of the transcendental lattice is an even positive definite binary quadratic form. This provides an explicit link to class group theory.

The conclusion of class group exponent 1 or 2 for singular K3 surfaces over $\mathbb{Q}$ in Prop. 7.1 has been established independently in [Sch3]. The reasoning in [Sch3] is mainly geometrical and builds on work by Shioda–Inose on singular K3 surfaces [S-I] and by Shioda–Mitani on singular abelian surfaces [S-M].

For newforms of weight 3 with rational coefficients, we have to consider imaginary quadratic fields whose class group consists only of 2-torsion. These fields are related to Euler's *idoneal numbers*. They were also studied by Gauss. Weinberger showed that the list of 65 known fields is almost complete [W, Thm. 2]. More precisely, he stated that there is at most one imaginary quadratic field with exponent 2 and $\Delta_K > 5460$. He showed that this field would have $\Delta_K > 2 \cdot 10^{11}$. Subject to a Siegel-Landau condition on L-functions for odd real Dirichlet characters, which in particular would be a consequence of ERH (cf. [W, Thm. 4]), he proved that the known fields with $\Delta_K \leq 5460$ constitute the complete list.

In this section, we will give a newform $f = \sum_n a_n q^n$ of weight 3 with rational Fourier coefficients and CM by K for every known field K. The Fourier coefficients were calculated by a computer program after fixing a choice of sign in (2). In Table 1, the first column lists the level N of the respective CM newforms. By Proposition 7.1, such a newform has CM by $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-N})$. This also gives its nebentypus. We then list the Fourier coefficients at the first 30 primes.

8 The CM newforms of weight 4

This section is concerned with CM newforms of weight 4 with rational Fourier coefficients. By Theorem 2.4 we consider imaginary quadratic fields whose class group is at most 3-torsion. The number of these fields is known to be finite. This goes back to Boyd and Kisilevski [B-K], but can be also be found in Weinberger's article [W]. Since we have not found an explicit list, we searched for them with a straight forward computer program. Among the imaginary quadratic fields with $\Delta_K \leq 100000$, we found 26 such fields.

For each such K, Table 2 lists a newform with CM by K, rational Fourier coefficients and minimal level N. This newform is unique unless $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2})$ where the twist by χ_{-1} has the same level. In the table, the level constitutes the first column and is expressed as $N = M \cdot \Delta_K^2$. The Fourier coefficients at the first 25 primes follow.

N	2	3	5	7	11	13	17	19	23	29	31	37	41	43	47	53
7	-3	0	0	-7	-6	0	0	0	18	-54	0	-38	0	58	0	-6
8	-2	-2	0	0	14	0	2	-34	0	0	0	0	-46	14	0	0
11	0	-5	-1	0	-11	0	0	0	35	0	-37	-25	0	0	50	-70
15	-1	3	-5	0	0	0	14	-22	-34	0	2	0	0	0	14	86
16	0	0	-6	0	0	10	-30	0	0	42	0	-70	18	0	0	90
19	0	0	-9	-5	3	0	15	-19	-30	0	0	0	0	-85	75	0
20	2	-4	-5	4	0	0	0	0	-44	-22	0	0	62	76	4	0
24	2	-3	-2	-10	10	0	0	0	0	-50	38	0	0	0	0	94
27	0	0	0	-13	0	-1	0	11	0	0	-46	47	0	-22	0	0
35	0	-1	5	-7	-13	19	-29	0	0	23	0	0	0	0	31	0
40	2	0	-5	-6	-18	6	0	-2	26	0	0	54	-78	0	-86	-74
43	0	0	0	0	-21	-17	-9	0	3	0	19	0	39	-43	-78	63
51	0	3	-7	0	5	-25	-17	-13	29	-10	0	0	65	35	0	0
52	2	0	0	-12	-4	-13	-18	12	0	6	36	0	0	0	68	-102
67	0	0	0	0	0	0	-33	-29	-21	-9	0	7	0	0	27	0
84	2	3	-4	-7	-20	0	20	10	4	0	-50	-10	68	0	0	0
88	2	0	0	0	-11	-18	0	-6	-42	14	-26	0	0	42	6	0
91	0	0	3	-7	0	13	0	-25	-45	-33	55	0	-30	-5	-81	15
115	0	0	5	-9	0	0	11	0	-23	-57	-53	51	-33	-6	0	-101
120	2	3	-5	0	2	-14	-26	0	-14	38	-58	34	0	-74	34	0
123	0	3	0	0	-19	0	-7	0	0	17	-61	-49	-41	-37	53	-58
132	2	3	0	-8	-11	0	-32	16	2	-8	0	-58	16	64	-82	0
148	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	-36	-28	0	-12	-37	-66	12	0	-42
163	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-81	-77	-69	-57
168	2	3	0	-7	0	-2	-22	0	-38	-26	34	0	26	-82	0	22
187	0	0	0	-3	11	0	-17	0	0	41	0	0	-71	0	-93	-81
195	0	3	5	1	-17	-13	-31	0	-19	0	0	61	43	0	0	41
228	2	3	0	0	-16	0	0	-19	8	-56	-14	0	-32	0	56	-8
232	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-29	-54	-42	0	0	-22	0
235	0	0	5	0	0	-21	0	0	-1	0	0	0	0	39	-47	0
267	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	-43	-31	0	0	-7	0	0	0
280	2	0	5	-7	0	0	6	-18	0	0	0	-66	0	-54	66	-34
312	2	3	0	0	0	-13	0	-14	0	-46	0	22	-74	0	-62	2
340	2	0	5	0	-12	0	-17	0	0	0	28	6	0	-84	-76	0
372	2	3	0	0	0	0	-28	0	0	-4	-31	0	0	-38	-92	44
403	0	0	0	0	-9	13	0	0	0	0	-31	43	0	0	0	0
408	2	3	0	0	0	0	-17	0	-22	0	0	-62	14	0	0	-98
420	2	3	5	-7	8	-16	0	-32	0	0	-8	0	-2	-26	-46	-104
427	0	0	0	7	0	0	-27	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
435	0	3	5	0	-7	0	0	0	-41	-29	0	-71	53	-59	0	19
483	0	3	0	7	-1	0	0	-31	-23	0	0	0	-79	0	-67	83
520	2	0	5	0	0	-13	0	0	-6	0	-42	0	0	0	0	54
532	2	0	0	7	0	-12	0	-19	0	0	0	0	44	0	18	0
555	0	3	5	0	0	-11	0	0	0	-53	0	-37	0	49	-91	-79
595	0	0	5	7	0	9	-17	0	-39	0	-57	-11	-37	0	-59	0
627	0	3	0	0	11	7	-23	-19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-103
660	2	3	5	0	-11	4	0	-28	0	-52	0	0	-28	0	0	-26
708	2	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-56	0	0	-32	0	0
715	0	0	5	0	11	-13	21	-27	0	0	0	-69	17	-31	-49	0
760	2	0	5	0	0	0	0	-19	0	-18	0	0	0	-66	0	0
795	0	3	5	0	0	0	-19	0	0	0	0	0	-77	0	41	-53
840	2	3	5	-7	0	0	0	0	0	2	-22	46	-58	0	-74	0
1012	2	0	0	0	11	0	-12	0	-23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1092	2	3	0	7	0	-13	8	0	-32	0	0	0	0	0	-88	0
1155	0	3	5	7	-11	0	1	-17	-31	47	0	0	0	-79	0	29
1320	2	3	5	0	-11	0	0	0	0	0	0	-14	38	-46	0	0
1380	2	3	5	0	0	0	0	-8	-23	0	0	-64	0	0	0	0
1428	2	3	0	7	0	0	-17	4	0	-44	0	0	0	0	0	0
1435	0	0	5	7	0	0	0	-3	0	0	0	0	-41	0	0	-99
1540	2	0	5	7	-11	0	0	0	24	0	-48	0	-72	0	0	0
1848	2	3	0	7	-11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-2	-38	62
1995	0	3	5	7	0	0	0	-19	-11	-37	43	-59	0	0	0	0
3003	0	3	0	7	11	-13	0	0	0	19	-29	0	-61	0	0	0
3315	0	3	5	0	0	13	-17	0	0	7	-23	0	0	0	0	89
5460	2	3	5	7	0	-13	0	0	0	0	0	-4	0	-44	0	-76

Tab. 1: The CM newforms of weight 3 with rational Fourier coefficients

59	61	67	71	73	79	83	89	97	101	103	107	109	113
0	0	-118	114	0	-94	0	0	0	0	0	186	106	-222
-82	0	62	0	-142	0	158	146	-94	0	0	-178	0	98
107	0	35	-133	0	0	0	-97	95	0	-190	0	0	215
0	-118	0	0	0	98	-154	0	0	0	0	-106	-22	206
0	-22	0	0	-110	0	0	-78	130	-198	0	0	-182	-30
0	103	0	0	-25	0	90	0	0	-102	0	0	0	0
0	-58	-116	0	0	0	76	-142	0	122	-44	124	38	0
10	0	0	0	50	-58	-134	0	-190	190	-10	-86	0	0
0	-121	-109	0	-97	131	0	0	167	0	-37	0	-214	0
0	0	0	2	34	-157	-86	0	-149	0	199	0	-97	0
78	0	0	0	0	0	0	18	0	0	186	0	0	0
-54	0	91	0	0	-14	123	0	-193	159	-181	42	-169	0
0	0	-70	-130	0	0	0	0	0	0	155	-211	0	-199
-116	-86	108	-92	0	0	-68	0	0	-6	0	0	0	174
51	0	-67	-126	79	0	-102	111	0	0	-62	147	0	0
0	0	0	100	0	0	0	-172	0	-148	94	-164	-118	0
0	78	0	54	0	0	122	-174	-158	-194	118	-182	174	-126
90	0	0	0	-29	67	159	-165	131	0	0	-150	0	135
3	0	111	27	0	0	-41	0	-174	87	114	191	0	19
98	0	-26	0	0	38	0	0	0	182	0	0	0	166
0	-1	0	101	23	0	0	14	0	161	83	0	0	0
74	0	0	-34	0	136	0	0	62	136	0	0	0	0
44	0	0	0	-2	84	0	0	0	54	132	0	0	0
0	-41	0	-21	0	0	3	0	31	0	0	0	0	63
-106	94	-34	58	0	0	-58	122	0	0	178	0	0	0
-69	54	-53	0	129	-114	0	-9	0	0	19	61	-207	0
-38	-73	-74	103	94	-37	0	-173	181	0	0	149	0	-34
0	-106	58	0	-82	-146	128	64	0	0	-98	0	0	112
-114	6	-98	0	0	42	-66	0	0	86	0	-18	0	0
-117	-113	-54	-93	99	-77	0	-57	0	-33	0	-209	0	179
29	0	-133	0	-121	-109	77	-89	-73	-154	0	0	-49	137
62	-102	-6	-138	-106	-122	0	0	166	-22	-46	74	0	0
0	0	82	-14	0	-154	0	22	0	98	-106	-202	166	0
0	0	-36	108	-126	-148	-4	-162	126	-138	36	0	0	-46
-68	0	0	-44	0	34	0	116	-178	0	0	28	-154	0
0	0	0	0	-133	0	42	147	0	-201	-197	-189	0	-177
-86	-14	0	74	0	0	-38	0	0	-2	-202	0	82	158
0	0	106	128	104	0	26	-158	-184	118	0	0	-202	16
57	-61	0	0	0	0	0	-66	0	141	0	-213	-209	-201
0	0	0	0	1	0	79	62	49	173	0	-134	-217	0
-43	53	0	0	0	0	0	0	-82	41	137	122	0	-142
0	0	-126	38	-114	0	-94	0	-66	0	154	0	-198	0
0	0	-132	-124	0	-108	-138	-164	156	0	0	-52	0	0
7	0	0	0	0	0	-19	67	46	0	169	29	0	0
0	3	0	0	0	0	149	0	0	0	-66	129	0	-114
-91	0	0	-67	0	139	109	-31	0	-26	0	0	-86	17
-58	0	-86	98	124	92	-164	0	0	92	-14	-116	0	94
-59	0	16	-94	0	0	0	-176	0	-152	88	-22	0	-128
0	0	-9	0	0	0	0	0	51	0	0	6	-42	0
42	0	0	0	0	0	14	0	-186	0	-174	0	142	-154
0	0	-131	-17	-119	0	0	0	0	43	-59	161	0	14
-22	38	0	-82	-134	0	0	38	-86	0	0	-206	0	-194
0	76	42	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-162	0	-196	0
-64	0	-22	0	-62	0	-16	0	142	176	-158	136	0	0
-113	67	0	0	0	0	-131	-53	-191	0	-179	0	0	149
0	-98	0	-122	14	-62	0	0	0	0	-146	0	-2	-214
-112	0	0	-88	0	112	74	-98	56	0	0	-154	0	0
0	-116	0	0	-92	-46	0	-94	-44	134	172	0	0	124
0	0	-71	0	-141	0	-121	137	0	-167	-81	0	0	0
8	-32	-64	0	0	-18	-54	0	-114	48	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	-118	0	-142	46	0	-106	0	0	-134	0
0	0	1	47	-139	0	0	0	0	-197	0	0	0	0
0	109	0	-131	0	0	23	0	103	0	0	-137	-211	0
-103	0	-121	0	0	0	0	-43	0	0	0	0	133	0
92	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-188	0	0	0	44

Tab. 1: The CM newforms of weight 3 with rational Fourier coefficients

N	2	3	5	7	11	13	17	19	23	29	31	37	41	43	47	53	59	61	67	71	73	79	83	89	97
3^2	0	0	0	20	0	-70	0	56	0	0	308	110	0	-520	0	0	0	182	-880	0	1190	884	0	0	-1330
$2 \cdot 4^2$	0	0	22	0	0	-18	-94	0	0	-130	0	214	-230	0	0	518	0	830	0	0	1098	0	0	-1670	594
7^2	-5	0	0	0	-68	0	0	0	-40	-166	0	450	0	-180	0	590	0	0	-740	688	0	-1384	0	0	0
$4 \cdot 8^2$	0	10	0	0	-18	0	90	106	0	0	0	0	-522	-290	0	0	846	0	-70	0	430	0	-1350	-1026	-1910
11^2	0	8	18	0	0	0	0	0	-108	0	340	-434	0	0	-36	-738	-720	0	-416	612	0	0	0	1674	-34
19^2	0	0	14	-36	40	0	14	0	212	0	0	0	0	128	364	0	0	630	0	0	1078	0	-112	0	0
23^2	3	4	0	0	0	-74	0	0	0	282	-344	0	426	0	48	0	-396	0	0	1176	-1226	0	0	0	0
31^2	1	0	2	16	0	0	0	156	0	0	0	0	-278	0	-616	0	-740	0	684	1000	0	0	0	0	-1906
43^2	0	0	0	0	32	-90	-130	0	-140	0	108	0	22	0	500	-130	-904	0	-360	0	0	-1116	-680	0	290
59^2	0	-7	-21	-29	0	0	126	-119	0	-159	0	0	-525	0	0	327	0	0	0	-180	0	-835	0	0	0
67^2	0	0	0	0	0	0	50	-144	-220	-266	0	270	0	0	-220	0	-104	0	0	788	-90	0	-1480	-374	0
83^2	0	5	0	-25	57	0	-75	0	180	309	-317	-335	378	0	0	0	471	-943	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
107^2	0	-1	0	0	-9	-11	0	-137	189	234	0	353	279	0	540	-711	0	911	0	0	0	-1127	-360	-1467	0
139^2	0	0	19	-11	43	93	0	0	0	-155	-345	-306	490	0	644	0	0	0	-29	575	0	-1091	1433	-1549	0
163^2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	122	-360	-580	-770	0	918	0	-1012	0	0	-1040	0	990
211^2	0	0	17	0	7	-83	0	165	0	0	0	-331	0	507	461	158	760	0	0	425	1242	-1285	1408	0	0
283^2	0	0	0	33	-71	79	0	0	-29	223	0	0	-61	0	0	0	-163	691	0	212	-630	0	1400	1423	537
307^2	0	0	0	12	-64	0	2	128	0	0	0	398	-506	0	0	34	0	0	0	-1196	0	-684	-1120	1526	1890
331^2	0	0	13	0	0	0	-139	-25	0	0	333	0	0	277	0	-707	0	0	-639	-1013	0	1141	248	1210	0
379^2	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	155	53	0	0	429	-197	0	0	0	0	-907	889	0	0	609	-1403	0	-846
499^2	0	0	-1	0	0	0	0	0	0	115	83	0	0	313	389	0	0	0	-1029	0	1173	0	0	0	0
547^2	0	0	0	0	-56	-6	0	88	0	298	0	0	0	0	-388	766	0	0	-488	0	1114	0	0	0	-1758
643^2	0	0	0	27	0	0	0	0	-131	-257	259	0	0	0	0	751	0	0	0	0	0	0	931	-1183	-1897
883^2	0	0	0	0	0	-29	-137	0	0	-233	-111	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1047	-1151	0	147	809	0	0
907^2	0	0	0	0	0	-25	0	69	65	0	0	0	-503	0	0	-665	0	0	0	1187	0	0	0	1501	0
4027^2	0	0	0	0	0	-69	125	-153	0	-182	0	0	134	416	0	-329	0	-43	-1095	1111	1109	443	-1511	-1649	1842

Tab. 2: The CM newforms of weight 4 with rational Fourier coefficients

9 Geometric Realisations

Originally, we wanted to understand the modularity of singular K3 surfaces in terms of the associated newforms of weight 3. This has been addressed by Prop. 7.1 and Table 1 in section 7. The natural question now is which of these newforms actually occur in the L-series of some K3 surface over $\mathbb{Q}$. We formulate this problem in general form:

Question 9.1
Which CM newforms with rational coefficients (and weight k) have geometric realisations in a smooth projective variety X over $\mathbb{Q}$ with $h^{k-1,0}(X) = \dim H^0(X, \Omega_X^{k-1}) = 1$?

In other words we ask whether the Galois representation associated to a newform occurs as 2-dimensional motive of some smooth projective variety which is defined over $\mathbb{Q}$. Note that the classical $(k-2)$-fold fibre product of the universal elliptic curve with level N-structure which was fundamental to Deligne's construction of the associated Galois representation for a newform of weight k and level N, in general does not meet the given criterion.

What makes our situation special, is that we have very precise associated geometric objects, different from Deligne's fibre products. Namely, these objects are the elliptic curves with CM by the respective field K. By the classical theory of CM, this gives a positive answer to our question for weight $k = 2$. For higher weight, it is perhaps most natural to ask for geometric realisations in Calabi-Yau varieties over $\mathbb{Q}$. For weight 3, i.e. dimension 2, this leads exactly to the singular K3 surfaces that we started with. In this case Question 9.1 was formulated independently by Mazur and van Straten.

At the point when this classification result for CM-forms was established, there were 35 forms known to correspond to singular K3 surfaces over $\mathbb{Q}$. These included all forms for fields of class number 1 and 2 through Kummer surfaces of products of elliptic curves. Further forms were realised in extremal elliptic surfaces ([Sch2], [B-M]). In the meantime, N. Elkies and the author found singular K3 surfaces over $\mathbb{Q}$ for all 65 known imaginary-quadratic fields with class group exponent 2 [E-S]. Since these singular K3 surfaces admit elliptic fibrations over $\mathbb{Q}$, all twists can be realised geometrically.

Theorem 9.2 (Elkies, Schütt)
Assuming ERH for odd real Dirichlet characters, every newform of weight 3 with rational coefficients is associated to a singular K3 surface over $\mathbb{Q}$.

In case of CM newforms of weight 4 with rational coefficients, we ask for a corresponding (preferably rigid) Calabi-Yau threefold over $\mathbb{Q}$. A rigid Calabi-Yau partner of the newform of level 9 from Table 2 was established by Werner with assistance of van Geemen [WvG]. For the forms of level 32, 49, and 256, Cynk and Meyer found non-rigid Calabi-Yau partners in [C-M]. Then Cynk and Hulek derived a generalised Kummer construction which realises all CM newforms of weight 4 and class number one [C-H]. Lately, this construction was combined with Weil restrictions by Cynk and the author [C-S]. This method produces non-rigid Calabi-Yau threefolds over $\mathbb{Q}$ for all CM newforms of weight 4 except for the last newform in Table 2 (which has class number 9, i.e. it is the only known imaginary-quadratic field of class group exponent 3, but class number greater than 3).

For higher dimensions even less is known. Only recently, Cynk and Hulek exhibited a general approach [C-H]. One particular construction involves the n-fold product of the elliptic curve E with CM by the ring of integers in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$. After dividing out E^n by a certain finite group action, Cynk and Hulek derive a resolution of singularities X, which is Calabi-Yau and defined over $\mathbb{Q}$. For n odd, this has middle cohomology group

$$\mathrm{H}^n(X) = \mathrm{H}^{n0}(X) \oplus \mathrm{H}^{0n}(X)$$

which is two-dimensional. Its L-series comes from the Hecke character of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$ in Lemma 4.1. If n is even, the corresponding statement can be established for the transcendental lattice $T(X) \subset \mathrm{H}^n(X, \mathbb{Z})$.

Acknowledgement: I am indepted to K. Hulek for his continuous interest and encouragement. Partial support by the DFG Schwerpunkt 1094 "Globale Methoden in der komplexen Geometrie" is gratefully acknowledged. My thanks go also to the referee for helpful comments.

Part of the revising took place while I enjoyed the hospitality of the Dipartimento di Matematica "Frederico Enriques" of Milano University. Funding from the network Arithmetic Algebraic Geometry, a Marie Curie Research Training Network, is gratefully acknowledged. I particularly thank M. Bertolini and B. van Geemen. The final version was prepared while I was funded by DFG under grant Schu 2266/2-2.

References

[B-M] Beukers, F., Montanus, H.: *Fibrations of K3-surfaces and Belyi-maps*, preprint (2006); additional data available at http://www.math.uu.nl/people/beukers/mirandapersson/Dessins.html.

[B-K] Boyd, D. W., Kisilevsky, H.: *On the exponent of the ideal class group of complex quadratic fields*, Proc. Am. Math. Soc. **31** (1972), 433–436.

[C] Cox, D. A.: *Primes of the Form $x^2 + ny^2$: Fermat, Class Field Theory, and Complex Multiplication*, Wiley Interscience (1989).

[C-H] Cynk, S., Hulek, K.: *Higher-dimensional modular Calabi-Yau manifolds*, Canad. Math. Bull. **50** (2007), no. 4, 486–503.

[C-M] Cynk, S., Meyer, C.: *Modularity of some non-rigid double octic Calabi-Yau threefolds*, preprint (2005), arXiv: math.AG/0505670.

[C-S] Cynk, S., Schütt, M.: *Generalised Kummer constructions and Weil restrictions*, preprint (2007), arXiv: 0710.4565.

[E-S] Elkies, N. D., Schütt, M.: *Modular forms and K3 surfaces*, preprint (2008), arXiv: 0809.0830.

[H-B] Heath-Brown, D. R.: *Imaginary quadratic fields with class group exponent 5*, Forum Math. **20** (2008), no. 2, 275–283.

[L] Livné, R.: *Motivic Orthogonal Two-dimensional Representations of $Gal(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$*, Israel J. of Math. **92** (1995), 149–156.

[N] Nikulin, V. V.: *Integral symmetric bilinear forms and some of their applications*, Math. USSR Izv. **14**, No. 1 (1980), 103-167.

[PS-Š] Pjateckiĭ-Šapiro, I. I., Šafarevič, I. R.: *Torelli's theorem for algebraic surfaces of type K3*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **35** (1971), 530–572.

[R] Ribet, K.: *Galois representations attached to eigenforms with Nebentypus*, in: J.-P. Serre, D. B. Zagier (eds.), *Modular Functions of one Variable V* (Bonn 1976), Lect. Notes in Math. **601**, Springer (1977), 17–52.

[Sch1] Schütt, M.: *Hecke eigenforms and the arithmetic of singular K3 surfaces*, dissertation (2006), Universität Hannover.

[Sch2] Schütt, M.: *Elliptic fibrations of some extremal K3 surfaces*, Rocky Mountains J. of Math. **37**, 2 (2007), 609–652.

[Sch3] Schütt, M.: *Fields of definition of singular K3 surfaces*, Communications in Number Theory and Physics **1**, 2 (2007), 307–321.

[Sch4] Schütt, M.: *Arithmetic of a singular K3 surface*, to appear in Michigan Mathematical Journal, preprint(2006), arXiv: math.NT/0605560.

[Se] Serre, J.-P.: *Sur les représentations modulaires de degré 2 de $Gal(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$*, Duke Math. J. **54** (1987), 179–230.

[S-I] Shioda, T., Inose, H.: *On Singular K3 Surfaces*, in: W. L. Baily Jr., T. Shioda (eds.), *Complex analysis and algebraic geometry*, Iwanami Shoten, Tokyo (1977), 119-136.

[S-M] Shioda, T., Mitani, N.: *Singular abelian surfaces and binary quadratic forms*, in: *Classification of algebraic varieties and compact complex manifolds*, Lect. Notes in Math. **412** (1974), 259–287.

[W] Weinberger, P. J.: *Exponents of the class groups of complex quadratic fields*, Acta Arith. **22** (1973), 117–124.

[WvG] Werner, J., van Geemen, B.: *New examples of threefolds with* $c_1 = 0$, Math. Z. **203** (1990), 211–225.

Matthias Schütt
Department of Mathematical Sciences
University of Copenhagen
Universitetspark 5
2100 Copenhagen
Denmark
mschuett@math.ku.dk